

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/721,695 11/25/2003		Russell Bonaventura	LEAP: 126US	6297		
24041	7590 03/06/2006		EXAMINER			
	z SIMPSON, PLLC	PRITCHETT	PRITCHETT, JOSHUA L			
5555 MAIN S WILLIAMSV	TLLE, NY 14221-5406		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2872			
			DATE MAILED: 03/06/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		'	Application No. Ap		Applicant(s)	pplicant(s)			
			10/721,695		BONAVENTURA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary			Examiner		Art Unit				
			Joshua L. Prit		2872				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	cation appea	ars on the co	ver sheet with the c	correspondence ad	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA asions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu- period for reply is specified above, the maximum state re to reply within the set or extended period for reply we eply received by the Office later than three months affect patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DAT of 37 CFR 1.136(i unication. tutory period will: will, by statute, ca	E OF THIS (a). In no event, he apply and will expand the application	COMMUNICATION nowever, may a reply be tin bire SIX (6) MONTHS from on to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status									
1) 🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on <i>23 Jani</i>	uarv 2006						
3)		,			secution as to the	e merits is			
۵,۰	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 2-18 is/are pending in the ap	pplication.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
• =	Claim(s) <u>2-18</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
• —									
	on Papers								
	The specification is objected to by the	Evaminar							
	The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 November</u>		· a\M acco	ated or b) abject	ed to by the Ever	minor			
Ю			•		· · · · · · · ·	illiei.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
Attachmen									
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT	TO 049)	4)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🔲 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Pr nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F r No(s)/Mail Date		5) 6)	Notice of Informal P	ratent Application (PT	O-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to Request for Continued Examination and Amendment filed January 23, 2006. Claims 2-5, 7, 9-11 and 15-17 have been amended as requested by the applicant.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 2, 3, 5, 6, 9, 10 and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kraft (US 6,049,420).

Regarding claims 2, 16 and 17, Kraft discloses an upper stage (2), a slide mount (3), a rod (4) and a releasable attachment means (21) wherein the releasable attachment means is arranged to attach the rod to the slide mount (Fig. 2), the upper stage supports the slide mount (Fig. 1), the upper stage is arranged to move in a first direction in response to a movement of the rod in the first direction (col. 2 lines 53-55) and the slide mount is arranged to move in a second direction, orthogonal to the first direction, in response to a movement of the rod in the second direction

Application/Control Number: 10/721,695 Page 3

Art Unit: 2872

(col. 2 lines 56-59). Fig. 2 shows the rod attached to the slide mount through the gears inside the upper stage and slide mount.

Regarding claim 3, Kraft discloses the rod is substantially hollow, circular tube (Fig. 2). Fig. 2 shows in the cut away portion of the rod that the inside of the rod appears to be hollow.

Regarding claim 5, Kraft discloses the rod comprises a distal portion, an intermediate portion and a proximate portion (Fig. 2).

Regarding claim 6, Kraft discloses the proximal portion is attached to the slide mount, the intermediate portion connects the distal end and the proximal portion and the distal portion is disposed in space substantially perpendicular to a longitudinal axis of the stage assembly (Fig. 2).

Regarding claim 9, Kraft discloses the rod comprises a plurality of grooves substantially parallel to each other (Fig. 2). The crossed marks on the rod shown in Fig. 2 include portions that are substantially parallel.

Regarding claim 10, Kraft discloses the rod comprises a plurality of protuberances that are substantially parallel to each other (Fig. 2). The raised portions between the marks on the rod shown in Fig. 2 are substantially parallel.

Regarding claim 18, Kraft discloses a slide mount guide fixedly connected to the slide mount, where the releasable attachment means is arranged to attach the rod to the slide mount guide (Figs. 1 and 2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Art Unit: 2872

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3, 4, 8 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kraft (US 6,049,420) in view of Leitz Service ("Ergolux B 0 1-Ersatztelliste").

Kraft teaches the invention as claimed but lacks reference to the type of releasable attachment and a joystick. Leitz Service teaches the releasable attachment means is a screw (59). Element, 59, is described as a Schraube, which translates to mean a screw. Leitz Service teaches a joystick (25), wherein the releasable attachment means is arranged to attach the joystick to the slide mount (Figure). Leitz Service teaches the rod being substantially solid (Figure). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the Kraft invention include the attachment means and joystick of Leitz Service for the purpose of reliably attaching the rod to allow operation without it falling off and easy movement of the slide mount.

Claims 7, 12-14 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kraft (US 6,049,420) in view of Nishida (US 2003/0169492).

Kraft teaches the invention as claimed but lacks reference to a gripping means. Nishida further teaches a gripping means (12) arranged to be detachably secured to the rod (Fig. 7). Fig.

Page 5

7 shows a screw used to attach the gripping means (12) to the rod. Nishida further teaches the distal portion of the rod tapering at the end (Fig. 6). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the Kraft rod be have the features as taught by Nishida for the purpose of allowing the rod to be easier to grip and weigh less to minimize the momentum transfer to the slide mount.

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kraft (US 6,049,420) in view of Yoshioka (US 5,907,157).

Kraft teaches the invention as claimed but lacks reference to a square gripping means. Yoshioka teaches the use of a substantially square (28) gripping means, rotatable plate arranged to be detachable secured to the distal portion of the rod (Fig. 2; col. 4 lines 19-30). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the Kraft rod include the Yoshioka square attachment for the purpose of allowing the user to grip the rod in a more comfortable position to allow easier movement of the upper stage through the rod.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see Amendment, filed January 23, 2006, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 2 under Leitz Service have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Kraft. Applicant argued that the Leitz Service reference fails to teach the upper stage supporting the slide mount.

Application/Control Number: 10/721,695

Art Unit: 2872

Conclusion

Page 6

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joshua L. Pritchett whose telephone number is 571-272-2318. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:00 - 3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Drew A. Dunn can be reached on 571-272-2312. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JLP W

DREW A. DUNN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER